Throat irritation associated with e-cigarette use: a case report

Beatriz Nascimento Figueiredo Lebre Martins¹ (b), César Augusto Migliorati² (b), Alessandro Villa^{3,4} (b),

Abstract:

Electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) is a device popular among non-smoker young adults. We report a case of a patient with the complaint of acute throat irritation secondary to chronic vaping. A 23-year-old male, without a significant past medical history, presented to the emergency department complaining of sore throat. The patient tested negative for Streptococcus (group A strep) and sexually transmitted infections. Due to persistent throat symptoms, he went to the Oral Medicine and Oral Oncology Clinic, at Miami Cancer Institute. He was an avid e-cigarette user, taking the maximum number of puffs from his device in a single day. The intraoral examination revealed erythema of the posterior oropharyngeal wall. The patient was diagnosed with pharyngitis secondary to vaping and instructed to discontinue the use of e-cigarette until the next visit. At 1-month follow-up the patient reported successfully quitting vaping, and had a complete resolution of the throat symptoms, with resolution of the oropharyngeal erythema. This case illustrates the importance of documenting patients' vaping habit. This information was essential to better understand the source of the throat irritation in the patient. We encourage dental professionals to document patients' vaping habits, educate them about e-cigarettes and the possible complications associated with the habit.

Keywords: E-cigarettes; Vaping; Sore throat; Substance-related disorders.

INTRODUCTION

Electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) or electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS), are electronic devices, commonly advertised as smoking cessation tools¹. The device produces a vapor that, in addition to nicotine may contain several chemicals, tobacco, or cannabis. Recent studies have shown a significant growth in the prevalence of e-cigarette users, especially among young adults who never smoked¹. Despite being a relatively new product, evidence of the acute effects of e-cigarettes on health is emerging. In the respiratory system, e-cigarette use has been associated with several negative symptoms involving the nose, mouth, throat, and airways². One of the most frequent acute effects of vaping is mouth and/ or throat irritation^{3,4}. This condition can be caused by exposure to the contents of the vapor, including propylene glycol², nicotine⁵, heavy metals such as nickel, and chromium⁶. Even though throat irritation is a common effect,

Statement of Clinical Significance

The present case report describes a patient with a severe sore throat associated with heavy vaping habit. This case illustrates the importance of documenting patients' vaping habits and presents the approach used to collect this information.

severe cases can lead to other important diagnoses such as vaping-induced acute epiglottitis, airway obstruction and e-cigarette or vaping use-associated lung injury (EVALI)^{2,7,8}.

The present case report describes a patient with severe sore throat associated with a heavy vaping habit.

CASE REPORT

A 23-year-old male, with a non-contributory past medical history, presented at the emergency department of Baptist Health South Florida with complaints of

State University of Campinas, Piracicaba Dental School, Oral Diagnosis Department – Piracicaba (SP), Brazil.

²University of Florida, College of Dentistry, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diagnostic Sciences – Gainesville, FL, USA.

³Miami Cancer Institute, Baptist Health South Florida – Miami, FL, USA.

*Florida International University, Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine - Miami, FL, USA.

Correspondence to: Email: bianflmartins@hotmail.com

Received on February 14, 2025. Accepted on April 29, 2025.

https://doi.org/10.5327/2525-5711.320



painful "bumps" at the back of his throat. The patient was initially diagnosed with pharyngitis. A *Streptococcus* (group A strep) throat test was negative. The patient was discharged on the same day with a prescription for Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and advised to perform warm saltwater gargles regularly. Due to the persistence of his throat symptoms after a couple of weeks, the patient presented to the Oral Medicine and Oral Oncology Clinic, at Miami Cancer Institute for a more comprehensive assessment and treatment.

During the patient interview, he expressed concerns that his throat symptoms could be related to Human Papillomavirus (HPV) infection after learning that his girlfriend had a sexual intercourse with another man. Before going to the emergency department, he visited a nearby community health center where he underwent testing for chlamydia, gonorrhea, and syphilis, with negative results for all three infections, based on both urine and throat swab tests. The patient had completed the HPV vaccine series a month before the visit (3rd dose). He also disclosed that the preceding two months had been significantly stressful for him, and he relied on daily vaping with nicotine (he did not recall the concentration) and regular marijuana consumption as coping mechanisms. Additionally, he had a history of occasional cocaine and other recreational drugs use.

During the visit to the Oral Medicine and Oral Oncology Clinic, the patient was asked additional questions about vaping habits, following a modified questionnaire based on Lee (Supplementary Material 1)⁹. He reported that he had been vaping for a few years, using a rechargeable device, but he could not remember the brand. He mentioned consuming the maximum number of puffs in a single day and needing to recharge the device daily. Due to the absence of information regarding the device's brand, we were unable to ascertain the capsule/device volume or the nicotine concentration. The patient clarified that the device had a combination of nicotine and various flavors.

The extra oral examination was within normal limits while the intraoral examination revealed enlarged bilateral palatine tonsils and erythema of the posterior oropharyngeal wall. (Figure 1). The patient was diagnosed with throat irritation secondary to vaping.

The adverse impact of vaping on overall health was thoroughly discussed with the patient. In terms of oral and oropharyngeal health, we delved into the potential consequences of e-cigarette use, which encompass a higher plaque index, increased periodontal pocket depth, alterations in the microbiological composition of the subgingival biofilm, the potential to enhance fungal virulence¹⁰⁻¹². He was instructed to discontinue the use



Figure 1. Clinical presentation at the first visit showing enlarged bilateral palatine tonsils and erythema of the posterior oro-pharyngeal wall.

of e-cigarette and marijuana until the next visit. As the patient remained apprehensive about the possibility of throat cancer, we had a thorough conversation about HPV-related throat symptoms and the risk of HPV infections, and HPV associated oropharyngeal cancers. Nevertheless, taking into account the patient's age and the fact that he had received HPV vaccination, the likelihood of an HPV-related oropharyngeal cancer diagnosis appeared remote.

At one month follow-up, the patient reported he had quit vaping and that the throat symptoms had subsided (Figure 2). However, he was still smoking marijuana twice a week. The intraoral examination revealed complete resolution of the erythema of the posterior oropharyngeal wall. As the throat irritation resolved with the cessation of the vaping habit, the patient received further clarification regarding the device's impact on health. Even though the association between HPV and oropharyngeal cancer had been well discussed with the patient during the first visit, the patient remained extremely anxious and was therefore referred to an otolaryngologist.

DISCUSSION

We reported on a patient with severe throat inflammation and erythema associated with the use of e-cigarette. The inflammation and symptoms resolved after he quit the vaping habit.

Pharyngitis refers to the inflammation of the mucous membranes of the oropharynx, with most cases resulting from either a bacterial or viral infection. Less common causes of pharyngitis include trauma, cancer, acid reflux, allergies and chemical exposures 13,14. Approximately 50 to 80% of cases of pharyngitis, commonly known as a sore throat, are of viral origin. The predominant viruses include rhinovirus, influenza virus, adenovirus, coronavirus, and parainfluenza virus. Less common viral pathogens include herpes simplex virus, Epstein-Barr virus, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and coxsackievirus. More severe cases of pharyngitis are often bacterial, with some developing after an initial viral infection. The most prevalent bacterial cause is Group A beta-hemolytic streptococci, which account for 5 to 36% of acute pharyngitis cases 15,16.



Figure 2. Clinical presentation at the 1-month follow-up showing reduced bilateral palatine tonsils and decreased erythema of the posterior oropharyngeal wall.

When assessing the patient's history and conducting a physical examination, it is essential to identify findings consistent with uncomplicated pharyngitis and exclude other potentially serious and life-threatening conditions. Clinical manifestations often include fever, tonsillar exudates, painful cervical adenopathy, pharyngeal erythema, and ear pain. Both viral and bacterial forms of uncomplicated infectious pharyngitis are typically self-limited, resolving within 5 to 7 days without progression. Symptoms are bilateral, do not involve trismus, and do not present evidence of airway obstruction¹⁷.

In the present case report, the patient's symptoms had persisted for more than 15 days, despite ongoing medication, leading to the conclusion that his pharyngitis was likely not caused by a bacterial or viral infection, but rather by continuous exposure to a substance. Furthermore, while viral pharyngitis often includes additional symptoms such as coughing, rhinorrhea, conjunctivitis, headache, and rash, the patient exhibited none of these¹⁸. This lack of additional symptoms further supported our conclusion that the patient was not experiencing a viral infection.

Given that pharyngitis can also be caused by allergies and chemical exposures 13,14 and considering that the patient continued to experience symptoms despite medication — specifically in areas exposed to e-cigarette vapor — along with his heavy e-cigarette use, we concluded that vaping was likely the cause of his condition.

E-cigarettes are battery-operated devices that heat a liquid solution (e-liquid or e-juice), which may contain propylene glycol or glycerol (or both), nicotine, flavors, metals (e.g., tin, silver, iron, nickel) among other components¹⁹. Vaping is the act of inhaling the aerosol produced by the heat of this liquid. This product was created in 2003, and despite being a relatively new device, evidence has shown several acute health effects associated with its use. Among these effects, throat irritation is frequently mentioned3,4. Farsalinos et al., assessed the characteristics and experiences of 19,414 e-cigarette users. The most common symptom associated with vaping was "sore" or "dry" mouth and throat4. The oxidative stress on the oropharyngeal mucosa caused by vaping may increase the production of inflammatory cytokines, decrease the activity of innate immune cells, increase viral replication, and decreased antimicrobial activity of neutrophils and macrophages, resulting in immunosuppression and likely a secondary infection²⁰.

Sore throat can be explained by a couple of factors. Exposure to propylene glycol and glycerol has been associated with airway irritation, which may be explained by a water-absorbing property of this substance². Propylene

glycol is responsible for the "throat hit" which is the specific sensation felt in the posterior pharyngeal wall by users when vaping. This sensation is popular among smokers because it leads to satisfaction and resembles the puff of a tobacco cigarette⁴. Studies have shown that patients may develop allergic reactions to propylene glycol following repeated dermal applications²¹. Although most dermal reactions associated with the substance result from irritation, true immunological reactions have been confirmed through patch testing²². Some individuals are allergic to propylene glycol so exposure to the substance can lead to allergic reactions such as irritation of the airways and airway obstruction². Further research is still needed to determine if propylene glycol could induce allergic reactions via inhalation from e-cigarettes²³.

Moreover, data have shown that exposure to ultrafine particles like metals such as nickel, chromium, and manganese could also play a role in producing this inflammatory reaction, which can have the potential to induce several side effects, including irritations of the mucous membranes of the eyes and upper respiratory tract⁶. In this context, chronic exposure to nickel is known to cause allergic asthma, rhinitis, chronic sinusitis, bronchitis, and dermatitis²⁴. A recent systematic review reported five cases of allergic contact dermatitis associated with nickel²⁵. In all cases, the dermatitis was treated similarly to the present case report, by avoiding contact with e-cigarettes.

Nicotine exposure can also lead to throat irritation. E-cigarettes come in four generations, and while they share a common operating system, each generation has its own unique characteristics. The 1st and 2nd generations of e-cigarettes are more likely to contain a nicotine-free base. Alkaline free-base nicotine is bitter and irritates the airways⁵. The 3rd and 4th generations, which are the most recent, may contain nicotine salt. This type of nicotine is the combination of a nicotine-free base with an acid (the acid can vary). Nicotine salt is less bitter and less irritant. Instead, it is sweeter, smoother, and more palatable⁵.

E-cigarettes and cannabis have shown similar trends in use among adolescents, as these two substances are the most used drugs among youth. Studies have indicated that an e-cigarette user is more likely to also use cannabis²⁶. Our patient was smoking cannabis and did not use an electronic device to consume the product. However, there are several e-cigarette devices available for consuming cannabis. While more research is needed to establish the health effects of concurrent cannabis and e-cigarette use, studies have shown that using these

products simultaneously leads to increased substance use and mental health problems, learning and memory issues, nicotine addiction, difficulties in quitting tobacco smoking, and reduced motivation to quit²⁷. Moreover, exposure to tobacco or marijuana smoke, or e-cigarette aerosols, can cause vascular endothelial dysfunction. The use of conventional cigarettes and/or e-cigarettes impairs blood vessel function and increases various signs of inflammation. A recent study suggested that acute endothelial dysfunction caused by various products is not due to a single substance or class of substances but rather to a mechanism dependent on the vagus nerve, resulting from airway irritation²⁸.

It is important to highlight three key aspects of the diagnosis and treatment in this case report. First, we conducted a thorough review of the patient's laboratory test results and medical records. Second, understanding the patient's vaping habits was crucial to identifying the source of his symptoms. Third, effective communication played a vital role in educating the patient about the risks of vaping and encouraging healthier behaviors.

To gather comprehensive information about the patient's vaping habits, we asked specific questions, as detailed in Supplementary Material 1.

We explored the following topics:

- 1. The duration of their vaping habit;
- 2. The brand of the vaping device, which is crucial for obtaining more information about the specific device, including the capsule volume and nicotine concentration, as different types may be available under the same brand;
- 3. The volume of the capsule/device and the nicotine concentration;
- 4. The type of device (whether it is rechargeable or disposable);
- 5. The frequency with which the patient needed to purchase a new device or recharge it. This question was particularly significant, as the patient reported depleting a single charge within the same day, indicating a high usage pattern. For context, a device with an 11 mL liquid capacity can yield up to 3,000 puffs, while a conventional cigarette typically provides about 20 puffs before it is finished;
- 6. The volume of the capsule/device and/or the nicotine concentration;
- 7. The flavors the patient typically purchases; and
- 8. How the device is disposed of once it is empty.

This approach is based on the method suggested by Lee⁹ and aligns with a recently published strategy by our group²⁹. We emphasize the importance for health-care professionals to collect detailed information about e-cigarette use, in addition to traditional inquiries about smoking and drinking habits²⁹. This is crucial for understanding the short- and long-term health implications for patients. We outline key aspects that should be explored and documented in medical and dental records.

A limitation of this case report was the fact that the patient was emotionally affected by the events in his life. He was still extremely anxious because he was afraid that he might have oropharyngeal cancer. This prevented us from exploring the patient's vaping habit in more detail. Moreover, at the follow-up visit he had stopped using the e-cigarette and did not bring the device he used. Thus, we could not obtain more specific information about the device and the brand.

CONCLUSION

This case illustrates the importance of documenting patients' vaping habit. This information was essential to better understand the source of the throat irritation in the patient. As health professionals it is our duty to educate the patients about e-cigarettes, this is important to encourage better health behaviors. That said, we encourage dental practitioners to document patients' vaping habits, educate them about e-cigarettes and the possible complications associated with the habit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the patient for their permission to use the clinical data.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

BNFLM: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. **CAM:** writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. **AV:** conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources, supervision, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Funding: The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval: Informed consent about clinical management was obtained from the patient in this case. Written informed consent for publication of their details was obtained from the patient and was compliant with the hospital.

REFERENCES

- Martins BNFL, Normando AGC, Rodrigues-Fernandes CI, Wagner VP, Kowalski LP, Marques SS, et al. Global frequency and epidemiological profile of electronic cigarette users: a systematic review. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2022;134(5):548-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2022.07.019
- Wieslander G, Norbäck D, Lindgren T. Experimental exposure to propylene glycol mist in aviation emergency training: acute ocular and respiratory effects. Occup Environ Med. 2001;58(10):649–55. PMID: 11555686.
- 3. King JL, Reboussin BA, Wiseman KD, Ribisl KM, Seidenberg AB, Wagoner KG, et al. Adverse symptoms users attribute to e-cigarettes: results from a national survey of US adults. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019;196:9-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.11.030
- 4. Farsalinos KE, Romagna G, Tsiapras D, Kyrzopoulos S, Voudris V. Characteristics, perceived side effects and benefits of electronic cigarette use: a worldwide survey of more than 19,000 consumers. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014;11(4):4356-73. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110404356
- Leventhal AM, Madden DR, Peraza N, Schiff SJ, Lebovitz L, Whitted L, et al. Effect of exposure to e-cigarettes with salt vs freebase nicotine on the appeal and sensory experience of vaping: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(1):e2032757. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.32757
- Gaur S, Agnihotri R. Health effects of trace metals in electronic cigarette aerosols-a systematic review. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2019;188(2):295-315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-018-1423-x
- Khorrami A, Khorrami MA, Gheriani H. Vaping-induced acute epiglottitis: a case report. Int J Emerg Med. 2023;16(1):56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12245-023-00532-x
- O'Callaghan M, Boyle N, Fabre A, Keane MP, McCarthy C. Vaping-associated lung injury: a review. Medicina (Kaunas). 2022;58(3):412. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58030412
- Lee R. Rethinking e-smoking. Br Dent J, 2023;234(1):12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-023-5443-3
- 10. Rouabhia M, Semlali A. Electronic cigarette vapor increases Streptococcus mutans growth, adhesion, biofilm formation, and expression of the biofilm-associated genes. Oral Dis. 2021;27(3):639-47. https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.13564
- Wilson C, Tellez Freitas CM, Awan KH, Ajdaharian J, Geiler J, Thirucenthilvelan P. Adverse effects of E-cigarettes on head, neck, and oral cells: a systematic review. J Oral Pathol Med. 2022;51(2):113-25. https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.13273
- 12. Yang I, Sandeep S, Rodriguez J. The oral health impact of electronic cigarette use: a systematic review. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2020;50(2):97-127. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2020.1713726

- 13. Frost HM, McLean HQ, Chow BDW. Variability in antibiotic prescribing for upper respiratory illnesses by provider specialty. J Pediatr. 2018;203:76-85.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2018.07.044
- 14. Alzahrani MS, Maneno MK, Daftary MN, Wingate L, Ettienne EB. Factors associated with prescribing broadspectrum antibiotics for children with upper respiratory tract infections in ambulatory care settings. Clin Med Insights Pediatr. 2018;12:1179556518784300. https://doi. org/10.1177/1179556518784300
- 15. Gottlieb M, Long B, Koyfman A. Clinical mimics: an emergency medicine-focused review of streptococcal pharyngitis mimics. J Emerg Med. 2018;54(5):619-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jemermed.2018.01.031
- Brennan-Krohn T, Ozonoff A, Sandora TJ. Adherence to guidelines for testing and treatment of children with pharyngitis: a retrospective study. BMC Pediatr. 2018;18(1):43. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12887-018-0988-z
- Dunmire SK, Hogquist KA, Balfour HH. Infectious mononucleosis. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2015;390(Pt 1):211-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22822-8_9
- 18. Wolford RW, Goyal A, Syed SYB, Schaefr TJ. Pharyngitis. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island: StatPearls Publishing; 2025 [accessed in April 28, 2025]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519550/
- Farsalinos KE, Kistler KA, Gillman G, Voudris V. Evaluation of electronic cigarette liquids and aerosols for the presence of selected inhalation toxins. Nicotine Tob Res. 2015;17(2):168-74. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntu176
- Scott A, Lugg ST, Aldridge K, Lewis KE, Bowden A, Mahida RY, et al. Pro-inflammatory effects of e-cigarette vapour condensate on human alveolar macrophages. Thorax. 2018;73(12):1161-9. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2018-211663
- Lessmann H, Schnuch A, Geier J, Uter W. Skin-sensitizing and irritant properties of propylene glycol. Contact Dermatitis. 2005;53(5):247-59. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0105-1873.2005.00693.x
- 22. Fransway AF, Zug KA, Belsito DV, Deleo VA, Fowler Jr JF, Maibach HI, et al. North American Contact Dermatitis Group patch test results for 2007-2008. Dermatitis. 2013;24(1):10-21. https://doi.org/10.1097/DER.0b013e318277ca50
- 23. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Committee on the Review of the Health Effects of Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems; Eaton DL, Kwan LY, Stratton K. Toxicology of e-cigarette constituents. In: Public health consequences of e-cigarettes [Internet]. Washington: National Academies Press; 2018 [accessed in April 21, 2024]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK507184/
- 24. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxicological profile for nickel [Internet]. 2005 [accessed in May 29, 2024]. Available from: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp15.pdf
- 25. Tzortzi A, Kapetanstrataki M, Evangelopoulou V, Beghrakis P. A systematic literature review of e-cigarette-related illness and injury: not just for the respirologist. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(7):2248. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072248

- 26. Miech RA, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD, Patrick ME. E-cigarettes and the drug use patterns of adolescents. Nicotine Tob Res. 2016;18(5):654-9. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntv217
- 27. Pearson JL, Villanti AC. It is past time to consider cannabis in vaping research. Nicotine Tob Res. 2020;22(5):597-8. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntaa012
- 28. Nabavizadeh P, Liu J, Rao P, Ibrahim S, Han DD, Derakhshandeh R, et al. Impairment of endothelial function by cigarette smoke is
- not caused by a specific smoke constituent, but by vagal input from the airway. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2022;42(11):1324–32. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.122.318051
- 29. Santos-Silva AR, Martins BNFL, Lopes MA, Migliorati CA. Integrating e-cigarette (vaping) questions into medical and dental charts: a critical need. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2024;137(2):93-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.0000.2023.10.007